

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,347	02/21/2002	Theresa A. Deisher	96-20C5	2963
7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Deborah A. Sawislak			SAOUD, CHRISTINE J	
Patent Department, ZymoGenetics, Inc. 1201 Eastlake Avenue East			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seattle, WA	98102		1647	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/081,347	DEISHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christine J. Saoud	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of t eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mistatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 March 2004.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,—	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
·							
	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	drawn from consideration.	· :					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	(i)	•					
6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.	• .						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or ologion requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	ΓO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	cigir priority under 00 0.0.0	. 3 1 10(4) (4) 5. (1).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	•	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stage				
application from the International B							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•		i i					
	•						
Attachment(s)		: :					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	: v Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		sequence dignoment					
	•	• (1					

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 19-39 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper filed 04 March 2004.

Priority

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). *The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship* (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number. Additionally, the status of the U.S. Application referenced should be updated (i.e. abandoned, patented, etc.).

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate

Art Unit: 1647

paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

At the time of the instant Office action, no IDS is of record in the instant application.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See at least pages 17-18 and 66-67. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 1647

5,989,866. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of '866 anticipate the claims of the instant application. Therefore, allowance of the instant claims would provide an unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent for the subject matter of '866.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 4, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by GREENE et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,013,477).

Greene et al. disclose a protein with an amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:16) which has at least 60% amino acid sequence identity with SEQ ID NO:2 in the regions

Art Unit: 1647

recited by the claims. (See exemplary sequence alignment, attached). Therefore, Greene et al. anticipate the instant claims.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine J. Saoud whose telephone number is 571-272-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on mttr, 8:00-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Chustine J. Saoud

GenCore version 5.1.6 Copyright (c) 1993 - 2004 Compugen Ltd.

March 19, 2004, 12:47:49; Search time 0.001 Seconds (without alignments) 31.820 Million cell updates/sec Run on:

OM protein - protein search, using sw model

us-10-081-347-2 777

1 EENVDFRIHVENQIRARDDV........KGRPRKGPKIRENQQDVHFM 148 Title: Perfect score: Sequence:

BLOSUM62 Gapop 10.0 , Gapext 0.5 Scoring table:

1 segs, 215 residues Searched:

Total number of hits satisfying chosen parameters:

Minimum DB seq length: 0 Maximum DB seq length: 200000000

Post-processing: Minimum Match 0% Maximum Match 100% Listing first 1 summaries

us-09-103-079-16:* Database : Pred. No. is the number of results predicted by chance to have a score greater than or equal to the score of the result being printed, and is derived by analysis of the total score distribution.

SUMMARIES

Query Score Match Length DB Result No.

215 1 us-09-103-079-16 504.5 64.9

ALIGNMENTS

RESULT 1 us-09-103-079-16

Query Match 64.9%; Score 504.5; DB 1; Length 215; Best Local Similarity 61.1%; Pred. No. 0; Matches 91; Conservative 28; Mismatches 29; Indels 1

28 EENVDFRIHVENQTRARDDVSRKQLRLYQLYSRTSGKHIQVL-GRRISARGEDGDKYAQL 86

8 g à

87 LVETDTFGSQVRIKGKETEFYLCMNRKGKLVGKPDGTSKECVFIEKVLENNYTALMSAKY 146

qq

ò

147 SGWYVGFTKKGRPRKGPKTRENQODVHFM 175

Search completed: March 19, 2004, 12:47:49
Job time : 0.001 secs

Sequence Alignment -- Attachment